arXiv:1404.0370v2 [math.DG] 14 Oct 2014

ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITIES IN CONICALLY BOUNDED CONVEX BODIES

MANUEL RITORÉ AND EFSTRATIOS VERNADAKIS

ABSTRACT. We consider the problem of minimizing the relative perimeter under a volume constraint in the interior of a conically bounded convex set, i.e., an unbounded convex body admitting an *exterior* asymptotic cone. Results concerning existence of isoperimetric regions, the behavior of the isoperimetric profile for large volumes, and a characterization of isoperimetric regions of large volume in conically bounded convex sets of revolution is obtained.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we shall denote by $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ an unbounded closed convex set with non-empty interior. We shall call such a set an *unbounded convex body*. We are interested in the isoperimetric problem of minimizing the relative perimeter in the interior of C under a volume constraint, specially for large volumes. The *isoperimetric profile* of C is the function $I_C : (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ assigning to any $v > 0$ the infimum of the perimeter of sets of volume v. An *isoperimetric region* $E \subset C$ is one whose perimeter equals $I_C(|E|)$, where $|E|$ is the volume of E. This implies $P(F) \geqslant P(E)$ for any $F \subset C$ such that $|F| = |E|$.

Given an unbounded convex body C, a classical notion in the theory of convex sets is that of the *asymptotic cone* of C, or tangent cone at infinity, defined by $C_\infty = \bigcap_{\lambda>0} \lambda C$. We shall say that C_∞ is *non-degenerate* when $\dim C_\infty = \dim C = n+1$. Assuming C has a non-degenerate asymptotic cone, we can extract useful information on the isoperimetric profile I_C of C but, unfortunately, we need a stronger control on the large scale geometry of C to get a more precise information on the geometry of large isoperimetric regions in C. Thus we are led to consider *conically bounded convex sets*. We shall say that a convex set C is conically bounded if there exists a non-degenerate cone C^∞ containing C, the *exterior asymtotic cone* of C, so that the Hausdorff distance of $C_t = C \cap \{x_{n+1} = t\}$ and $(C^\infty)_t$ goes to zero when t goes to infinity. When C is conically bounded, C^∞ coincides with C_∞ up to translation. There are examples of convex sets C with non-degenerate asymptotic cone that are not conically bounded.

Previous results on the isoperimetric profile of *cylindrically bounded* convex bodies have been obtained by the authors in [23]. For *bounded* convex bodies we refer to [22]

Date: October 15, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 49Q10, 49Q20, 52B60.

Key words and phrases. Isoperimetric inequalities, conically bounded convex bodies, isoperimetric profile, isoperimetric regions.

Both authors have been supported by MICINN-FEDER grant MTM2010-21206-C02-01, and Junta de Andalucía grants FQM-325 and P09-FQM-5088.

and the references there. In convex cones, this isoperimetric problem has been considered by Lions and Pacella [19], Ritoré and Rosales [21] and Figalli and Indrei [9]. Outside convex bodies, possibly unbounded, isoperimetric inequalities have been established by Choe and Ritoré [8], and Choe, Ghomi and Ritoré [6], [7].

We have organized this paper into several sections. In Section 2, we fix the notation we shall use and give the appropriate background. In particular, we discuss the relation between conically bounded convex sets and unbounded convex bodies with non-degenerate asymptotic cone in Lemma 2.1 and Remark 2.2. We also give the necessary background on finite perimeter sets.

In Section 3, we consider convex bodies C with non-degenerate asymptotic cone C_∞ and we prove in Theorem 3.4 that the isoperimetric profile I_C of C is always bounded from below by the isoperimetric profile of I_{C_∞}, and that I_C and I_{C_∞} are asymptotic. Inequality $I_C \geqslant I_{C_\infty}$ is interesting since it implies that the isoperimetric inequality of the convex cone C_∞ also holds in C, although it is not sharp in general. We also show the continuity of the isoperimetric profile of C in Lemma 3.5.

In Section 4, we consider conically bounded convex bodies with smooth boundary. The boundary of its exterior asymptotic cone out of the vertex is not regular in general as it follows from the discussion at the beginning of Section 4. Assuming the regularity of this convex cone, we prove existence of isoperimetric regions for all volumes in Proposition 4.3, and the concavity of the isoperimetric profile I_C and of its power $I_C^{(n+1)/n}$ in Proposition 4.4. It is well-known [17] that the concavity of $I_C^{(n+1)/n}$ implies the connectedness of isoperimetric regions in C. In a similar way to [22] we prove a "clearing-out" result in Proposition 4.9, and a lower density bound in Corollary 4.10, that allow us to show in Theorem 4.11 a key convergence result: if we have a sequence isoperimetric regions in C whose volumes go to infinity, then scaled them down to have constant volume, we have convergence of the scaled isoperimetric regions in *Hausdorff distance* to a ball in the exterior asymptotic cone. Moreover, the boundaries of the scaled isoperimetric regions also converge in Hausdorff distance to the spherical cap that bounds this ball. This convergence can be improved to higher order convergence using Allard type estimates for varifolds using the estimate in Lemma 4.12.

In Section 5, we consider conically bounded sets of revolution. These sets are foliated, out of a compact set, by a family of spherical caps whose mean curvatures go to 0 by Lemma 5.1. Using the results in the previous Section and an argument based on the Implicit Function Theorem, we show in Theorem 5.5 that large isoperimetric regions are spherical caps meeting the boundary of the unbounded convex body in an orthogonal way.

The authors would like to thank the referee for the useful comments.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Convex sets.** An *unbounded convex body* $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ will be a closed unbounded convex set with non-empty interior. A *convex body* $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a *compact* convex set with non-empty interior. The dimension of a convex set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is the dimension of the

smallest affine subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ containing C and will be denoted by $\dim C$. We refer the reader to Schneider's monograph [24] for background on convex sets and functions.

Given $x \in C$ and $r > 0$, we define the *intrinsic ball* $B_C(x,r) = C \cap B(x,r)$, and the corresponding closed ball $\overline{B}_C(x,r) = C \cap \overline{B}(x,r)$. For $E \subset C$, the *relative boundary* of E in the interior of C is $\partial_C E = \partial E \cap \operatorname{int} C$.

We say that a sequence of closed sets $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ converges in *pointed Hausdorff distance* to some closed set E if there exist a point $p \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ so that $\{E_i \cap \overline{B}(p,r)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in Hausdorff distance to $E \cap \overline{B}(p,r)$ for all $r > 0$. This property is almost independent of the point p. If $q \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $E_i \cap \overline{B}(q,r)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is non-empty for large i then, applying the Kuratwoski criterion [24, Thm. 1.8.7], one easily sees that $E_i \cap \overline{B}(q,r)$ converges to $\overline{B}(q,r)$ in Hausdorff distance.

We define the *asymptotic cone* C_∞ of an unbounded convex body C containing 0 by

$$C_\infty = \bigcap_{\lambda>0} \lambda C, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\lambda C = \{\lambda x : x \in C\}$ is the image of C under the homothety of center 0 and ratio λ. If $p \in C$ and $h_{p,\lambda}$ is the homothety of center p and ratio λ then $\bigcap_{\lambda>0} h_{p,\lambda}(C) = p + C_\infty$ is a translation of C_∞. Hence the shape of the asymptotic cone is independent of the chosen origin. When C is bounded the set C_∞ defined by (2.1) is a point. It is known that λC converges, in the pointed Hausdorff topology, to the asymptotic cone C_∞ [5] and hence it satisfies $\dim C_\infty \leqslant \dim C$. We shall say that the asymptotic cone is *non-degenerate* if $\dim C_\infty = \dim C$. The solid paraboloid $\{z \geqslant x^2 + y^2\}$ and the cilindrically bounded convex set $\{z \geqslant (1 - x^2 - y^2)^{-1} : x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ are examples of unbounded convex bodies with the same degenerate asymptotic cone $C_\infty = \{(0,0,z) : z \geqslant 0\}$.

We define the *tangent cone* C_p of a (possibly unbounded) convex body C at a given boundary point $p \in \partial C$ as the closure of the set

$$\bigcup_{\lambda>0} h_{p,\lambda}(C).$$

Tangent cones of convex bodies have been widely considered in convex geometry under the name of supporting cones [24, § 2.2] or projection cones [4]. From the definition it follows that C_p is the smallest cone, with vertex p, that includes C.

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a closed convex cone with interior points. It is known that the geodesic balls centred at the vertex are isoperimetric regions in K, [19], [21], and that they are the only ones [9] for general convex cones, without any regularity assumption on the boundary. The invariance of K by dilations centered at some vertex yields

$$I_K(v) = I_K(1)\, v^{n/(n+1)}. \tag{2.2}$$

Given a convex body $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ containing 0 in its interior, its *radial function* $\rho(C,\cdot) : \mathbb{S}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\rho(C,u) = \max\{\lambda \geqslant 0 : \lambda u \in C\}.$$

It easily follows that $\rho(C,u)u \in \partial C$ for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^n$.

Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an unbounded convex body that can be written as the epigraph of a non-negative convex function over the hyperplane $x_{n+1} = 0$. We shall say that C is a

conically bounded convex body if, for every $t \geqslant 0$, the set $C_t = C \cap \{x_{n+1} = t\}$ is a convex body in the hyperplane $\{x_{n+1} = t\}$, and there exists a non-degenerate convex cone C^∞ including C such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \max_{|u|=1} |\rho(C_t, u) - \rho((C_\infty)_t, u)| = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

We shall call C^∞ the *exterior asymptotic cone* of C. Because of our assumption of compactness of the slices C_t, the exterior asymptotic cone has a unique vertex. We have the following

Lemma 2.1. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a conically bounded convex body. Then C_∞ and C^∞ coincide up to translation.*

Proof. Assume C is the epigraph of the convex function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$, and let C^∞ be defined as the epigraph of the convex function $f^\infty : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$. Since C^∞ is a cone, assuming the origin is a vertex, we have $\lambda f^\infty(x) = f^\infty(\lambda x)$ for any $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let us compute now the asymptotic cone C_∞. From (2.2), the point $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ belongs to C_∞ if and only if $(\mu x, \mu y) \in C$ for all $\mu > 0$. This is equivalent to $y \geqslant \mu^{-1} f(\mu x)$ for all $\mu > 0$. The family $\{f_\mu\}_{\mu>0}$, where f_μ is defined by $f_\mu(x) = \mu^{-1} f(\mu x)$, is composed of convex functions. The convexity of f and the fact that $f(0) = 0$ imply that $f_\mu(x) \leqslant f_\beta(x)$ when $\mu \leqslant \beta$. Hence the asymptotic cone of C is the epigraph of the convex function $f_\infty = \sup_{\mu>0} f_\mu = \lim_{\mu\to\infty} f_\mu$. Observe that $\lambda f_\infty(x) = f_\infty(\lambda x)$ for all $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $C \subset C^\infty$ we have $f \geqslant f^\infty$ and so

$$f_\infty(x) \geqslant f_\mu(x) = \mu^{-1} f(\mu x) \geqslant \mu^{-1} f^\infty(\mu x) = f^\infty(x).$$

Let us check now that $f_\infty = f^\infty$. Fix some $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and let $u = x/|x|$. Then $(x, f(x)) \in \partial C_{f(x)}$ and $\rho(C_{f(x)}, u) = |x|$. If $\mu = f(x)/f^\infty(x)$ then $f^\infty(\mu x) = \mu f^\infty(x) = f(x)$. Hence $(\mu x, f^\infty(\mu x))$ belongs to $\partial(C^\infty)_{f(x)}$, and $\rho((C^\infty)_{f(x)}, u)$ is given by $\mu\,|x| = (f(x)/f_\infty(x))\,|x|$. Hence we have

$$|\rho(C_{f(x)}, u) - \rho((C^\infty)_{f(x)}, u)| = \left(\frac{f(x)}{f_\infty(x)} - 1\right) |x|.$$

Replacing x by λx we get

$$|\rho(C_{f(\lambda x)}, u) - \rho((C^\infty)_{f(\lambda x)}, u)| = \left(\frac{f(\lambda x)}{f_\infty(\lambda x)} - 1\right) \lambda |x|.$$

Letting $\lambda \to \infty$, we know that $f(\lambda x)$ converges to ∞ since $f(\lambda x) \geqslant \lambda f^\infty(x)$. By (2.3) we obtain

$$1 = \lim_{\lambda\to+\infty} \frac{f(\lambda x)}{f^\infty(\lambda x)} = \lim_{\lambda\to+\infty} \frac{\lambda^{-1} f(\lambda x)}{\lambda^{-1} f^\infty(\lambda x)} = \frac{f_\infty(x)}{f^\infty(x)}.$$

□

Remark 2.2. It is not difficult to produce examples of unbounded convex body with non-degenerate asymptotic cone which are not conically bounded. Simply consider the epigraph in $\mathbb{R}^2$ of the convex function $f(x) = e^x - 1$. Its asymptotic cone is the quadrant $x \leqslant 0, y \geqslant 0$. On the other hand, there are no asymptotic lines to the graph of $f(x)$ when $x \to +\infty$.

Starting from this example we can produce higher dimensional ones: consider the reflection of $\{(x, f(x)) : x \geqslant 0\}$ with respect to the normal line $x + y = 0$ to the graph of $f(x)$ at $(0,0)$. This convex function can be used to produce higher dimensional unbounded convex bodies of revolution with non-degenerate asymptotic cone which are not conically bounded.

2.2. **Sets of finite perimeter and isoperimetric regions.** The main references here are Giusti [13] and Maggi [20]. Given $E \subset C$, we define the *relative perimeter* of E in $\mathrm{int}(C)$, by

$$P_C(E) = \sup\Big\{ \int_E \mathrm{div}\, \xi \, dH^{n+1}, \xi \in \Gamma_0(C), |\xi| \leqslant 1\Big\},$$

where $\Gamma_0(C)$ is the set of smooth vector fields with compact support in $\mathrm{int}(C)$. We shall say that E has *finite perimeter* in C if $P_C(E) < \infty$.

The *volume* of E is defined as the $(n+1)$-dimensional Hausdorff measure of E and will be denoted by $|E|$. The r-dimensional Hausdorff measure will be denoted by H^r.

If $C, C' \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ are convex bodies (possible unbounded) and $f : C \to C'$ is a Lipschitz map, then, for every $s > 0$ and $E \subset C$, from the definition of Hausdorff measure, we get $H^s(f(E)) \leqslant \mathrm{Lip}(f)^s H^s(E)$. This implies

Lemma 2.3. *Let $C, C' \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $f : C \to C'$ a bilipschitz map then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Lip}(f^{-1})^{-n} P_C(E) \leqslant P_{f(C)}(f(E)) \leqslant \mathrm{Lip}(f)^n P_C(E), \\ \mathrm{Lip}(f^{-1})^{-(n+1)} |E| \leqslant |f(E)| \leqslant \mathrm{Lip}(f)^{n+1} |E|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. The first line of inequalities holds when the boundary of E is smooth. For general E it follows by approximation by finite perimeter sets with smooth boundary. The second line is well-known. □

Remark 2.4. Let M_i, $i = 1,2,3$ be metric spaces and $f_i : M_i \to M_{i+1}$, $i = 1,2$ be lipschitz maps, then $\mathrm{Lip}(f_2 \circ f_1) \leqslant \mathrm{Lip}(f_1)\mathrm{Lip}(f_2)$. Consequently if $g : M_1 \to M_2$ is a bilipschitz map, then $1 \leqslant \mathrm{Lip}(g)\mathrm{Lip}(g^{-1})$.

Remark 2.5. If $f : C_1 \to C_2$ is a bilipschitz map between subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, then $g : \lambda C_1 \to \lambda C_2$, defined by $g(x) = \lambda f(\frac{x}{\lambda})$, is also bilipschitz and satisfies $\mathrm{Lip}(f) = \mathrm{Lip}(g)$, $\mathrm{Lip}(f^{-1}) = \mathrm{Lip}(g^{-1})$.

We define the *isoperimetric profile* of C by

$$I_C(v) = \inf\Big\{P_C(E) : \Omega \subset C, |E| = v\Big\}. \tag{2.5}$$

We shall say that $E \subset C$ is an *isoperimetric region* if $P_C(E) = I_C(|E|)$. The *renormalized isoperimetric profile* of C is

$$Y_C = I_C^{(n+1)/n}. \tag{2.6}$$

Lemma 2.6 ([22, Lemma 5.1])**.** *Let C be a convex body, and $\lambda > 0$. Then*

$$I_{\lambda C}(\lambda^{n+1} v) = \lambda^n I_C(v), \tag{2.7}$$

for all $0 < v < \min\{|C|, |\lambda C|\}$.

The known results on the regularity of isoperimetric regions are summarized in the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.7 ([14], [15], [25, Thm. 2.1]). *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ a (possible unbounded) convex body and $E \subset C$ an isoperimetric region. Then $\partial E \cap \mathrm{int}(C) = S_0 \cup S$, where $S_0 \cap S = \emptyset$ and*

(i) *S is an embedded C^∞ hypersurface of constant mean curvature.*
(ii) *S_0 is closed and $H^s(S_0) = 0$ for any $s > n-7$.*

Moreover, if the boundary of C is of class $C^{2,\alpha}$ then $\mathrm{cl}(\partial E \cap \mathrm{int}(C)) = S \cup S_0$, where

(iii) *S is an embedded $C^{2,\alpha}$ hypersurface of constant mean curvature*
(iv) *S_0 is closed and $H^s(S_0) = 0$ for any $s > n-7$*
(v) *At points of $S \cap \partial C$, S meets ∂C orthogonally.*

Arguing similarly as in the proof of [22, Thm. 4.1] we obtain

Lemma 2.8. *Let $\{C_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of (possibly unbounded) convex bodies converging to a convex body C in pointed Hausdorff distance. Let $E \subset C$ a bounded set of finite perimeter and volume $v > 0$. If $v_i \to v$. Then there exists a sequence $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of bounded sets $E_i \subset C_i$ of finite perimeter in C_i with $|E_i| = v_i$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} P_{C_i}(E_i) = P_C(E)$.*

Proof. Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a closed Euclidean ball containing E in its interior. By hypothesis, the sequence $\{C_i \cap B\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges in Hausdorff distance to $C \cap B$. As in [22, Thm. 3.4], we consider a sequence $f_i : C_i \cap B \to C \cap B$ of bilipschitz maps with $\mathrm{Lip}(f_i), \mathrm{Lip}(f_i^{-1}) \to 1$. Now we argue as in [22, Thm. 4.1], defining the sets $E_i \subset C_i$ as the preimages by f_i of smooth perturbations of E supported in the regular part of $\partial_C E$, and such that $|E_i| = v_i$, and $\lim_{i\to\infty} P_{C_i}(E_i) = P_C(E)$. □

Proposition 2.9 ([22, Proposition 6.2]). *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a convex body (possibly unbounded), and $p \in \partial C$. Then every intrinsic ball in C centered at p has no more perimeter than an intrinsic ball of the same volume in C_p. Consequently*

$$I_C(v) \leqslant I_{C_p}(v), \tag{2.8}$$

for all $0 < v < |C|$.

Remark 2.10. A closed half-space $H \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a convex cone with the largest possible solid angle. Hence, for any convex body $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we have

$$I_C(v) \leqslant I_H(v), \tag{2.9}$$

for all $0 < v < |C|$.

Remark 2.11. Proposition 2.9 implies that $E \cap \partial C \neq \emptyset$ when $E \subset C$ is isoperimetric. Since in case $E \cap \partial C$ is empty, then E is an Euclidean ball. Moreover, as the isoperimetric profile of Euclidean space is strictly larger than that of the half-space, a set whose perimeter is close to the the value of the isoperimetric profile of C must touch the boundary of C.

Proposition 2.12 ([21, Thm. 2.1]). *Let C be an unbounded convex body and $v > 0$. Then there exists a finite perimeter set $E \subset C$ (possibly empty), with $|E| = v_1 \leqslant v$, $P_C(E) = I_C(v_1)$,*

and a diverging sequence $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *of finite perimeter sets such that* $|E_i| \to v_2$ *and* $v_1 + v_2 = v$. *Moreover*

$$I_C(v) = P_C(E) + \lim_{i\to\infty} P_C(E_i) \tag{2.10}$$

Lemma 2.13. *Let* $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *be an unbounded convex body. Then* C *is a doubling metric space with a constant depending only on* n.

Proof. Let $x \in C$, $r > 0$ and K denote the convex cone with vertex x subtended by $\partial B_C(x,r)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} |B_C(x,2r)| &= |B_C(x,2r)\setminus B_C(x,r)| + |B_C(x,r)| \\ &\leqslant |B_K(x,2r)\setminus B_K(x,r)| + |B_C(x,r)| \\ &\leqslant |B_K(x,2r)| + |B_C(x,r)| \\ &= 2^{n+1}|B_K(x,r)| + |B_C(x,r)| \\ &= (2^{n+1}+1)|B_C(x,r)|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

□

The next result follows from [10, 6.1].

Proposition 2.14. *Let* $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ *be an unbounded convex body. Then each isoperimetric region in* C *is bounded.*

Proof. Using the doubling property, Lemma 2.13, and (3.2) as in [10, Lemma 3.10], we get an $c_0 > 0$ such that

$$P_C(\Omega) \geqslant c_0|\Omega|^{n/(n+1)} \tag{2.12}$$

for any finite perimeter set with $|\Omega| \leqslant v_0$.

Let $E \subset C$ be an isoperimetric region so that the regular part of the boundary has constant mean curvature H. Consider a point p in the regular part of $\partial E \cap \operatorname{int}(C)$, and take a vector field in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with compact support in a small neighborhood of p that does not intersect the singular set of ∂E. We choose the vector field so that the deformation $\{E_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ induced by the associated flow strictly increases the volume in the interval $(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$, i.e., $t \mapsto |E_t|$ is strictly increasing in $(-\varepsilon,\varepsilon)$. Taking a smaller ε if necessary, the first variation formulas of volume and perimeter imply

$$\left|H^n(\partial E_t \cap \operatorname{int}(C)) - H^n(\partial E \cap \operatorname{int}(C)\right| \leqslant (2|H|)\left||E_t| - |E|\right|. \tag{2.13}$$

The last equation plays the role of deformation Lemma in [10, Lemma 4.6], which combined with (2.12) give us the boundedness of isoperimetric regions. □

We shall say that a cone is *regular* if its boundary is C^2 out of the vertices.

Proposition 2.15. *Let* C *be a regular convex cone and* $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C$ *a diverging sequence of finite perimeter sets with* $\lim_{i\to\infty}|E_i| = v$. *Then* $\liminf_{i\to\infty} P_C(E_i) \geqslant I_H(v)$.

Proof. The proof is modeled on [21, Thm. 3.4], where the sets of the diverging sequence were assumed to have the same volume. □

3. Unbounded convex bodies with non-degenerate asymptotic cone

The main result in this Section is Theorem 3.4, where we prove that the isoperimetric profile I_C of an unbounded convex body C with non-degenerate asymptotic cone C_∞ is bounded from below by I_{C_∞} and that I_C and I_{C_∞} are asymptotic functions. We also prove the continuity of the isoperimetric profile I_C.

Assume now that $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is an unbounded convex body and $0 \in C$. We denote

$$C_r = \overline{B}_C(0,r)$$

and

$$I_{C_r}(v) = \inf\{P_C(E) : E \subset B_r, |E| = v\}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let C be an unbounded convex body. Then*

$$I_C = \inf_{r>0} I_{C_r}. \tag{3.1}$$

Remark 3.2. Lemma 3.1 implies that, for every volume, there exists a minimizing sequence consisting of bounded sets.

Proof. From the definition of I_{C_r} it follows that, for $0 < r < s$, we have $I_{C_s} \geqslant I_{C_r} \geqslant I_C$ in the common domain of definition. Hence $I_C \leqslant \inf_{r>0} I_{C_r}$.

In order to prove the opposite inequality we will be follow an argument in [21]. Fix $v > 0$, and let $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a minimizing sequence for volume v. This means $|E_i| = v$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} P_C(E_i) = I_C(v)$.

For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\lim_{r\to\infty} |E_i \setminus B_r| = 0$. Thus for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $R_i > 0$ such that

$$|E_i \setminus B_{R_i}| < \frac{1}{i}.$$

We now define a sequence of real numbers $\{r_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ by induction taking $r_1 = R_1$ and $r_{i+1} = \max\{r_i, R_{i+1}+1\} + i$. Then $\{r_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies

$$r_{i+1} - r_i \geqslant i \qquad \text{and} \quad |E_i \setminus B_{r_i}| < \frac{1}{i}.$$

By the coarea formula

$$\int_{r_i}^{r_{i+1}} H^n(E_i \cap \partial B_t)\, dt \leqslant \int_{\mathbb{R}} H^n(E_i \cap \partial B_t)\, dt = |E_i| = v.$$

Thus there exists $\rho(i) \in [r_i, r_{i+1}]$ so that $(r_{i+1} - r_i) H^n(E_i \cap \partial B_{\rho(i)}) \leqslant v$, and so

$$H^n(E_i \cap \partial B_{\rho(i)}) \leqslant \frac{v}{i}.$$

Now by Corollary 5.5.3 in [26] we have

$$P_C(E_i \cap B_{\rho(i)}) \leqslant P(E_i, B_{\rho(i)}) + H^n(E_i \cap \partial B_{\rho(i)}).$$

Let B_i^* be a sequence of Euclidean balls of volume $|B_i^*| = |E_i \setminus B_{\rho(i)}|$. Since $|B_i^*| \to 0$ when $i \to \infty$, the balls can be taken at positive distance of $E_i \cap B_{\rho(i)}$, but inside B_{2r_i} for i large

enough. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_{C_{2r_i}}(v) &\leqslant P_C(E_i \cap B_{\rho(i)}) + P(B_i^*) \\ &\leqslant P_C(E_i, B_{\rho(i)}) + H^n(E_i \cap \partial B_{\rho(i)}) + P(B_i^*) \\ &\leqslant P_C(E_i) + \frac{v}{i} + P(B_i^*). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limits when $i \to \infty$ we obtain $\inf_{r>0} I_{C_r}(v) \leqslant I_C(v)$. □

The following is inspired by [22, Thm. 4.12]

Lemma 3.3. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ a convex body with non-degenerate asymptotic cone C_∞. Given $r_0 > 0$, there exist positive constants M, ℓ_1, only depending on r_0 and C_∞, and a universal positive constant ℓ_2 so that*

$$I_{\overline{B}_C(x,r)}(v) \geqslant M \min\{v, |\overline{B}_C(x,r)| - v\}^{n/(n+1)}, \tag{3.2}$$

for all $x \in C$, $0 < r \leqslant r_0$, and $0 < v < |\overline{B}(x,r)|$. Moreover

$$\ell_1 r^{n+1} \leqslant |\overline{B}_C(x,r)| \leqslant \ell_2 r^{n+1}, \tag{3.3}$$

for any $x \in C$, $0 < r \leqslant r_0$.

Proof. Fix $r_0 > 0$. Following [22, Thm. 4.11], to show the validity of (3.2), we only need to obtain a lower bound δ for the inradius of $\overline{B}_C(x, r_0)$ independent of $x \in C$. Then a relative isoperimetric inequality is satisfied in $\overline{B}_C(x,r)$, for $0 < r < r_0$, with a constant M that only depends on r_0/δ.

Let C_∞ be the asymptotic cone of C with vertex at the origin. For every $x \in C$, we have $x + C_\infty = \bigcap_{\lambda>0} h_{x,\lambda}(C) = \bigcap_{1\geqslant\lambda>0} h_{x,\lambda}(C) \subset C$. Fix $r_0 > 0$ and $x \in C$. As $x + C_\infty \subset C$, we get $\overline{B}_{x+C_\infty}(x,r) \subset \overline{B}_C(x,r)$. Since C_∞ is non-degenerate, then we can pick $\delta > 0$ and $y \in C_\infty$ so that $B(y,\delta) \subset \overline{B}_{C_\infty}(0, r_0)$. Hence $B(x+y,\delta) \subset \overline{B}_{x+C_\infty}(x, r_0)$. This provides the desired uniform lower bound for the inradius of $\overline{B}(x, r_0)$.

We now prove (3.3). Since $|\overline{B}_C(x,r)| \leqslant |\overline{B}(x,r)|$, it is enough to take $\ell_2 = \omega_{n+1} = |\overline{B}(0,1)|$. For the remaining inequality, using the same notation as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\overline{B}(x,r) \cap C| &= |\overline{B}(x,\lambda r_0) \cap C| \geqslant |h_{x,\lambda}(\overline{B}(x,r_0) \cap C)| \\ &= \lambda^{n+1} |\overline{B}(x,r_0) \cap C| \geqslant \lambda^{n+1} |\overline{B}(y(x),\delta)| \\ &= \omega_{n+1} (\delta/r_0)^{n+1}\, r^{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

and we take $\ell_1 = \omega_{n+1}(\delta/r_0)^{n+1}$. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let C be a convex body with non-degenerate asymptotic cone C_∞. Then*

$$\frac{I_C}{I_{C_\infty}} \geqslant 1. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover

$$\lim_{v\to\infty} \frac{I_C(v)}{I_{C_\infty}(v)} = 1. \tag{3.5}$$

Proof. Fix $v > 0$ and let $E \subset C$ be any bounded set of finite perimeter and volume v. Let $q \in \mathrm{int}(C_\infty \cap \overline{B}(0,1))$ and $B_q \subset \mathrm{int}(C_\infty \cap \overline{B}(0,1))$ be a Euclidean geodesic ball. Now consider a solid cone K_q with vertex q such that $0 \in \mathrm{int}(K_q)$ and $K_q \cap C \cap \partial B(0,1) = \emptyset$. Let $r_i \uparrow \infty$. By definition of the asymptotic cone, $r_i^{-1} C \cap \overline{B}(0,1)$ converges to $C_\infty \cap \overline{B}(0,1)$ in Hausdorff distance. Thus we may construct, as in [22, Thm. 3.4], a family of bilipschitz maps $f_i : r_i^{-1} C \cap \overline{B}(0,1) \to C_\infty \cap \overline{B}(0,1)$ which fix the points in the ball B_q, and such that

$$\mathrm{Lip}(f_i), \mathrm{Lip}(f_i^{-1}) \to 1. \tag{3.6}$$

So f_i is the identity in B_q and it is extended linearly along the segments leaving from q. For large enough $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have, $E \subset C \cap B(0, r_i)$ and $r_i^{-1} E \subset K_q$, since $\mathrm{diam}(E) < \infty$. For this large i, by construction, the maps f_i have the additional property

$$P_{C_\infty}(f_i(r_i^{-1}E)) = P_{C_\infty \cap \overline{B}(0,1)}(f_i(r_i^{-1}E)). \tag{3.7}$$

For i large enough, $P_C(E) = P_C(E \cap B(0,r))$. Thus by Lemma 2.3, (2.2) and the above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{P_C(E)}{|E|^{n/(n+1)}} = \frac{P_{r_i^{-1}C}(r_i^{-1}E)}{|r_i^{-1}E|^{n/(n+1)}} &\geqslant \frac{P_{C_\infty}(f_i(r_i^{-1}E))}{|f_i(r_i^{-1}E)|^{n/(n+1)}} (\mathrm{Lip}(f_i)\,\mathrm{Lip}(f_i^{-1}))^{-n} \\ &\geqslant I_{C_\infty}(1)\,(\mathrm{Lip}(f_i)\,\mathrm{Lip}(f_i^{-1}))^{-n}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Passing to the limit we get,

$$\frac{P_C(E)}{|E|^{n/(n+1)}} \geqslant I_{C_\infty}(1). \tag{3.9}$$

Thus, by (2.2), for every $v \geqslant 0$, we obtain,

$$I_C(v) \geqslant I_{C_\infty}(v), \tag{3.10}$$

which implies (3.4).

Let us prove now (3.5). Let $\lambda_i \downarrow 0$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since C_∞ is the asymptotic cone of each $\lambda_i C$ then the last inequality holds for every $\lambda_i C, i \in \mathbb{N}$. Passing to the limit we conclude

$$I_{C_\infty}(1) \leqslant \liminf_{i\to\infty} I_{\lambda_i C}(1).$$

Now consider a ball B_{C_∞} centered at a vertex of C_∞ of volume 1, which is an isoperimetric region by [19]. By Lemma 2.8, there exist a sequence $E_i \subset \lambda_i C$ of finite perimeter sets with $|E_i| = 1$ and such that $\lim_{i\to\infty} P_{\lambda_i C}(E_i) = P_C(B)$. So we get

$$I_{C_\infty}(1) \geqslant \limsup_{i\to\infty} I_{\lambda_i C}(1),$$

and we conclude

$$I_{C_\infty}(1) = \lim_{i\to\infty} I_{\lambda_i C}(1). \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.11), Lemma 2.6 and the fact that C_∞ is a cone we deduce

$$1 = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \frac{I_{\lambda C}(1)}{I_{C_\infty}(1)} = \lim_{\lambda\to 0} \frac{\lambda^n I_C(1/\lambda^{n+1})}{\lambda^n I_{C_\infty}(1/\lambda^{n+1})} = \lim_{v\to\infty} \frac{I_C(v)}{I_{C_\infty}(v)},$$

as desired. □

We now prove the continuity of the isoperimetric profile of C. The proof of the following is adapted from [11, Lemma 6.2]

Lemma 3.5. *Let C be a convex body with non-degenerate asymptotic cone. Then I_C is continuous.*

Proof. Given $r > 0$ and $x \in C$, we get $B(x,r) \cap (x + C_\infty) \subset B(x,r) \cap C$. Thus

$$|B_C(x,r)| \geqslant |B_{x+C_\infty}(x,r)| = |B_{x+C_\infty}(x,1)|\, r^{n+1} = \ell_1 r^{n+1},$$

for all $x \in C$ and $r > 0$, where $\ell_1 = |B_{C_\infty}(0,1)|$.

Let $E \subset C$ a finite perimeter set and $r > 0$. We apply Fubini's Theorem to the function $C \times E \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(x,y) \mapsto \chi_{B_C(x,r)}(y)$$

to obtain

$$\int_C |B_C(x,r) \cap E|\, dx = \int_E |B_C(y,r)|\, dy \geqslant \ell_1 r^{n+1} |E|.$$

This implies the existence of some $x \in C$ (depending on E and $r > 0$) such that

$$|B_C(x,r) \cap E| \geqslant \ell_1 r^{n+1} \frac{|E|}{|C|}. \tag{3.12}$$

Fix now two volumes $0 < v_1 < v_2$. Define $r > 0$ by

$$\ell_1 r^{n+1} \frac{v_2}{|C|} = v_2 - v_1.$$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. From the definition of the isoperimetric profile, there exists a finite perimeter set $E \subset C$ of volume v_2 such that $P_C(E) \leqslant I_C(v_2) + \varepsilon$. From the above discussion, there exists $x \in C$ so that (3.12) holds. This implies

$$|E \setminus B_C(x,r)| \leqslant |E| - |B_C(x,r) \cap E| \leqslant v_2 - \ell_1 r^{n+1} \frac{v_0}{|C|} = v_1.$$

As the function $t \mapsto |E \setminus B_C(x,t)|$ is continuous and monotone, there exists $0 < s \leqslant r$ so that $|E \setminus B_C(x,s)| = v_1$. Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_C(v_1) &\leqslant P_C(E \setminus B_C(x,s)) \leqslant P_C(E) + P_C(B_C(x,s)) \\ &\leqslant I_C(v_2) + \varepsilon + m s^n \leqslant I_C(v_2) + \varepsilon + m r^n \\ &\leqslant I_C(v_2) + \varepsilon + c\, v_1^{-n/(n+1)} (v_2 - v_1)^{n/(n+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $m > 0$ is the perimeter of a Euclidean geodesic sphere of radius 1 and $C > 0$ is explicitly computed from the definition of r. As ε was arbitrary, we get

$$I_C(v_1) \leqslant I_C(v_2) + c\, v_1^{-n/(n+1)} (v_2 - v_1)^{n/(n+1)}. \tag{3.13}$$

We now prove a second inequality. By Lemma 3.1, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $R > 0$ and a finite perimeter set $E \subset \overline{B}_C(0,R)$ of volume v_0 such that $P_C(E) \leqslant I_C(v_1) + \varepsilon$. Now consider a Euclidean geodesic ball B of volume $v_2 - v_1$ in $\mathrm{int}(C) \setminus \overline{B}(0,R))$. We have

$$I_C(v_2) \leqslant P_C(E \cup B) = P_C(E) + P_C(B) \leqslant I_C(v_1) + \varepsilon + c\, (v_2 - v_1)^{n/(n+1)},$$

where $c' > 0$ is the Euclidean isoperimetric constant. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we get

$$I_C(v_2) \leqslant I_C(v_1) + c' (v_2 - v_1)^{n/(n+1)}. \tag{3.14}$$

Now the continuity of I_C follows from (3.13) and (3.14). □

4. Conically bounded convex bodies

In this Section we shall obtain a number of results for conically bounded convex bodies with smooth boundary. Observe that this assumption does not guarantee that the asymptotic cone has smooth boundary out of the vertexes: simply consider the function in $\mathbb{R}^2$ defined by $f(x,y)=(1+x^2)^{1/2}+(1+y^2)^{1/2}$. The asymptotic cone of its epigraph can be computed as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 as $\{(x,y,z)\in\mathbb{R}^3 : z\geqslant f_\infty(x,y)\}$, where f_∞ is the limit, when $\mu\to\infty$, of the functions $f_\mu(p)=\mu^{-1}f(\mu p)$. In our case, $f_\infty(x,y)=|x|+|y|$.

We shall say that a conically bounded convex body is *regular* if it has smooth boundary and its asymptotic cone has smooth boundary out of the vertexes.

The following elementary result on convex functions will be needed

Lemma 4.1. *Let $a>0$, and $f:[0,+\infty)\to[0,+\infty)$ a convex function satisfying*

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)-(ax+b)=0.$$

Then, for every $x_0\geqslant 0$ and any $u_0\geqslant f(x_0)$, the halfline $\{(x,u_0+a(x-x_0)) : x\geqslant x_0\}$ is contained in the epigraph of f.

Proof. Let us prove first that the function $x\mapsto(x-x_0)^{-1}(f(x)-u_0)$ is non-decreasing. Let $x_0<x<z$ so that $x=x_0+\lambda(z-x_0)$, with $\lambda=(x-x_0)/(z-x_0)$. By the concavity of f we get $f(x)=f(\lambda z+(1-\lambda)x_0)\leqslant\lambda f(z)+(1-\lambda)f(x_0)\leqslant\lambda f(z)+(1-\lambda)u_0$. Hence $f(x)-u_0\leqslant\lambda(f(z)-u_0)$, what implies

$$\frac{f(x)-u_0}{x-x_0}\leqslant\frac{f(z)-u_0}{x-x_0},$$

as we claimed.

For any $x>x_0$, the segment joining the points (x_0,u_0) and $(x,f(x))$ is contained in the epigraph of f by the concavity of f. Moreover, we have

$$\frac{f(x)-u_0}{x-x_0}\leqslant\frac{f(x)-f(x_0)}{x-x_0}=\frac{f(x)-ax-b}{x-x_0}-\frac{f(x_0)-ax-b}{x-x_0},$$

and taking limits we get

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{f(x)-u_0}{x-x_0}\leqslant a,$$

by the monotonicity of $x\mapsto(x-x_0)^{-1}(f(x)-u_0)$ and the asymptotic property of the line $ax+b$. So we conclude $f(x)-u_0\leqslant a(x-x_0)$ for all $x>x_0$, as claimed. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let C be a regular conically bounded convex body, and $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ a diverging sequence of finite perimeter sets with $\lim_{i\to\infty}|E_i|=v$. Then,*

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} P_C(E_i)\geqslant I_H(v),$$

where I_H is the isoperimetric profile of a closed half-space H.

Proof. Assume that 0 is the vertex of $C_\infty = C^\infty$. As usual, let $C_s = C \cap \{x_{n+1} = s\}$. The orthogonal projection of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ over $\{x_{n+1} = 0\}$ will be denoted by π. The balls considered in what follows will be n-dimensional.

For $t_0 > 0$ take a positive radius $r_0 > 0$ so that $B(0, r_0) \times \{t_0\} \subset \operatorname{int} C_{t_0}$. Is is an easy consequence of Lemma 4.1 that the cone of base $B(0, r_0) \times \{t_0\}$ with vertex 0, intersected with $t \geqslant t_0$, is contained in the interior of C. The section of this cone at height t is $B(0, tr_0/t_0) \times \{t\}$, and so $B(0, tr_0/t_0) \subset \operatorname{int} \pi(C_t)$.

We define $F : C \cap \{t \geqslant t_0\} \to C_\infty \cap \{t \geqslant t_0\}$ by

$$F(x,t) = (\tilde{f}_t(x), t),$$

where $\tilde{f}_t : \pi(C_t) \to \pi((C_\infty)_t)$ is the map defined by equation (3.6) in [22] which leaves fixed the points in the inner ball $B(0, tr_0/t_0) \subset \operatorname{int} \pi(C_t)$. For $i \geqslant t_0$, let $F_i = F|_{C \cap \{x_{n+1} \geqslant i\}}$.

Let us denote by h_λ the dilation in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of ratio $\lambda > 0$. Taking $\lambda = t_0/t$ we have

$$B(0, r_0) = h_\lambda(B(0, \tfrac{t}{t_0} r_0)) \subset \operatorname{int} h_\lambda(\pi(C_t)) \subset \operatorname{int} h_\lambda(\pi((C_\infty)_t)) = \operatorname{int} \pi((C_\infty)_{t_0}).$$

When $t \to \infty$, $h_\lambda(\pi(C_t)) \to \pi((C_\infty)_{t_0})$ in Hausdorff distance since C_∞ is the asymptotic cone of C. Let $f_t : h_\lambda(\pi(C_t)) \to \pi((C_\infty)_{t_0})$ be the family of maps given by (3.6) in [22] leaving fixed the ball $B(0, r_0)$ so that $\operatorname{Lip}(f_t), \operatorname{Lip}(f_t^{-1}) \to 1$. It is immediate to show that $\tilde{f}_t = h_{\lambda^{-1}} \circ f_t \circ h_\lambda$ and that $\operatorname{Lip}(\tilde{f}_t) = \operatorname{Lip}(f_t)$, $\operatorname{Lip}(\tilde{f}_t^{-1}) = \operatorname{Lip}(f_t^{-1})$. We conclude that $\operatorname{Lip}(\tilde{f}_t), \operatorname{Lip}(\tilde{f}_t^{-1}) \to 1$.

Let $t \geqslant s \geqslant i \geqslant t_0$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x,t) - F(y,s)| &= \left(|\tilde{f}_t(x) - \tilde{f}_s(y)|^2 + |t-s|^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(|\tilde{f}_t(x) - \tilde{f}_t(y) + \tilde{f}_t(y) - \tilde{f}_s(y)|^2 + |t-s|^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &= \left(|\tilde{f}_t(x) - \tilde{f}_t(y)|^2 + |\tilde{f}_t(y) - \tilde{f}_s(y)|^2 \right. \\ &\qquad \left. + 2\,|\tilde{f}_t(x) - \tilde{f}_t(y)||\tilde{f}_t(y) - \tilde{f}_s(y)| + |t-s|^2\right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We have $|(\tilde{f}_t(x) - \tilde{f}_t(y))| \leqslant \operatorname{Lip}(\tilde{f}_t)|x-y|$. By [22, Thm. 3.4], we can write $\operatorname{Lip}(\tilde{f}_t) < (1+\varepsilon_i)$ for $t \geqslant i$, where $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ when $i \to \infty$. Hence

$$|\tilde{f}_t(x) - \tilde{f}_t(y)| \leqslant (1+\varepsilon_i)\,|x-y|, \qquad \text{for } t \geqslant i. \tag{4.2}$$

We estimate now $|\tilde{f}_t(y) - \tilde{f}_s(y)|$.

In case $|y| \leqslant sr_0/t_0 \leqslant tr_0/t_0$, we trivially have $|\tilde{f}_t(y) - \tilde{f}_s(y)| = 0$. Let us consider the case $|y| \geqslant tr_0/t_0 \geqslant sr_0/t_0$. Set $u = y/|y|$ and for every $t > 0$ denote $\rho_t(u) = \rho(C_t, u)$,

$\tilde\rho_t(u)=\rho((C_\infty)_t,u)$ hence by (3.7) in [22, Thm. 3.4] we have

(4.3)
$$\begin{aligned}|\tilde f_t(y)-\tilde f_s(y)| &= \Big|\frac{(tr_0/t_0-|y|)}{\tilde\rho_t(u)-tr_0/t_0}\big(\tilde\rho_t(u)-\rho_t(u)\big)-\frac{(sr_0/t_0-|y|)}{\tilde\rho_s(u)-sr_0/t_0}\big(\tilde\rho_s(u)-\rho_s(u)\big)\Big|\\ &\leqslant \frac{|sr_0/t_0-|y||}{|\tilde\rho_s(u)-sr_0/t_0|}\Big|\big(\tilde\rho_t(u)-\rho_t(u)\big)-\big(\tilde\rho_s(u)-\rho_s(u)\big)\Big|\\ &+\Big|\big(\tilde\rho_t(u)-\rho_t(u)\big)\Big|\Big|\frac{tr_0/t_0-|y|}{\tilde\rho_t(u)-tr_0/t_0}-\frac{sr_0/t_0-|y|}{\tilde\rho_s(u)-sr_0/t_0}\Big|\\ &\leqslant \Big|\big(\tilde\rho_t(u)-\rho_t(u)\big)-\big(\tilde\rho_s(u)-\rho_s(u)\big)\Big|\\ &\qquad +M\Big|\frac{tr_0/t_0-|y|}{\tilde\rho_t(u)-tr_0/t_0}-\frac{sr_0/t_0-|y|}{\tilde\rho_s(u)-sr_0/t_0}\Big|,\end{aligned}$$

where we have used

$$\frac{|sr_0/t_0-|y||}{|\tilde\rho_s(u)-sr_0/t_0|}\Big|\leqslant 1,$$

since $|y|\leqslant\tilde\rho_s(u)$ (because $y\in\pi(C_s)\subset\pi((C_\infty)_s)$), and $\big|(\tilde\rho_t(u)-\rho_t(u))\big|\leqslant M$ for $t>1$, since $\sup_{u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}|\tilde\rho_t(u)-\rho_t(u)|\to 0$ and so that M does not depend on i,u. For $u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, consider the functions $\rho_t(u)=\rho(C_t,u)$, $\tilde\rho_t(u)=\rho((C_\infty)_t,u)$. Observe that, for every $u\in\mathbb{S}^n$ orthogonal to $\partial/\partial x_{n+1}$, the 2-dimensional half-plane defined by u and $\partial/\partial x_{n+1}$ intersected with C is a 2-dimensional convex set, and the function $t\mapsto\rho_t(u)$ is concave with asymptotic line the function $t\mapsto\tilde\rho_t(u)$. Thus the function $t\mapsto\rho_t(u)-\tilde\rho_t(u)$ is concave, because $t\mapsto\rho_t(u)$ is concave and $t\mapsto\tilde\rho_t(u)$ is affine, and so

$$\frac{\big|(\tilde\rho_t(u)-\rho_t(u))-(\tilde\rho_s(u)-\rho_s(u))\big|}{|t-s|}\leqslant\big|(\tilde\rho_i(u)-\rho_i(u))-(\tilde\rho_{i-1}(u)-\rho_{i-1}(u))\big|. \tag{4.4}$$

Thus by (2.3), the lipschitz constant of $t\mapsto(\tilde\rho_t(u)-\rho_t(u))|_{\{t\geqslant i\}}$ is independent of u and tends to 0 as $i\to+\infty$. So, only remains to estimate the second term in the right part of (4.3). To accomplish that, set

$$\rho(u)=\rho((C_\infty)_{t_0},u)=\rho(h_{t_0/t}(\pi((C_\infty)_t),u)\quad\text{for every } u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

By the homogeneity of the radial function we get

$$\rho(u)=\frac{t_0}{t}\rho(\pi((C_\infty)_t),u)=\frac{t_0}{t}\tilde\rho_t(u)\quad\text{for every } t\geqslant t_0.$$

Consequently if R is the inradius of $(C_\infty)_{t_0}$, and u_0 such that $\rho(u_0)=\min_{u\in\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\rho(u)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\frac{tr_0/t_0-|y|}{\tilde\rho_t(u)-tr_0/t_0}-\frac{sr_0/t_0-|y|}{\tilde\rho_s(u)-sr_0/t_0}\Big| &\leqslant \Big|\frac{tr_0/t_0-|y|}{t/t_0\,\tilde\rho(u)-tr_0/t_0}-\frac{sr_0/t_0-|y|}{s/t_0\,\tilde\rho(u)-sr_0/t_0}\Big|\\ &\leqslant\frac{|y|t_0}{\rho(u)-r_0}\Big|\frac1t-\frac1s\Big|\\ &\leqslant\frac{Rt_0}{\rho(u_0)-r_0}\Big|\frac1t-\frac1s\Big|\\ &\leqslant\frac{Rt_0}{\rho(u_0)-r_0}\,\frac{1}{i^2}|t-s|\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Thus, the lipschitz constant of

$$t \mapsto \frac{tr_0/t_0 - |y|}{\tilde{\rho}_t(u) - tr_0/t_0}\Big|_{\{t \geqslant i\}}$$

is independent of u and tends to 0 as $i \to +\infty$.

By the above discussion and (4.3), there exists ℓ_i for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\ell_i \to 0$, and

$$|f_t(y) - f_s(y)| \leqslant \ell_i\,|t-s|. \tag{4.6}$$

From (4.1), (4.2), (4.6), and trivial estimates, we obtain

$$|F_i(x,t) - F_i(y,s)| \leqslant \left((1+\varepsilon_i)^2 + \ell_i^2 + (1+\varepsilon_i)\,\ell_i\right)^{1/2} |x-y| \tag{4.7}$$

Now $\varepsilon_i \to 0$ and $\ell_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$. Thus inequality (4.7) finally give us

$$\limsup_{i\to\infty} \operatorname{Lip}(F_i) \leqslant 1.$$

Similarly we find $\limsup_{i\to\infty} \operatorname{Lip}(F_i^{-1}) \leqslant 1$. From the general inequality $\operatorname{Lip}(F_i^{-1})\operatorname{Lip}(F_i) \geqslant 1$ we finally get that $\max\{\operatorname{Lip}(F_i), \operatorname{Lip}(F_i^{-1})\} \to 1$ when $i \to \infty$ (indeed we have just proved that $d_L(C \cap \{x_{n+1} \geqslant i\}, C^\infty \cap \{x_{n+1} \geqslant i\}) \to 0$).

Now in case that $|y| \geqslant tr_0/t_0$ but $|y| \leqslant sr_0/t_0$, we can find $t^* > 0$ such that $|y| = t^* r_0/t_0$, then as $\tilde{f}_t(y) = \tilde{f}_{t^*}(y) = y$, but in the same time $\tilde{f}_{t^*}(y)$ can have the expression of (3.7) in [22, Thm. 3.4] then after a triangle inequality argument this case is reduced to the previous one. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let C be a regular conically bounded convex body. Then isoperimetric regions exist in C for all volumes.*

Proof. Fix $v > 0$. By Proposition 2.12, there exists $E \subset C$ (possibly empty) such that $|E| = v_1$, $P_C(E) = I_C(v_1)$, and a diverging sequence $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of finite perimeter sets such that $|E_i| \to v_2 = v - v_1$; moreover

$$I_C(v) = P_C(E) + \lim_{i\to\infty} P_C(E_i) \tag{4.8}$$

Assume now that $v_2 > 0$. From Proposition 4.2 we get $\lim P_C(E_i) \geqslant I_H(v_2)$. Now by Proposition 2.14, the set E is bounded and by Proposition 2.9 we can find an intrinsic ball $B \subset C$ with volume v_2 such that $E \cap B = \emptyset$ and $P_C(B) \leqslant I_H(v_2)$. Then (4.8) gives

$$I_C(v) = P_C(E) + \lim_{i\to\infty} P_C(E_i) \geqslant P_C(E) + I_H(v_2) \geqslant P_C(E) + P_C(B). \tag{4.9}$$

Thus $E \cup B$ is an isoperimetric region with volume v. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a conically bounded convex set. Then I_C, Y_C are positive concave functions, and so they are non-decreasing. Consequently, every isoperimetric region in C is connected.*

Proof. By 4.3 isoperimetric regions exist for all volumes thus we can argue as in [3, Thm. 3.2] to conclude that the upper second derivative of Y_C is non-positive, where combining with the fact that Y_C is continuous 3.5, we deduce that Y_C is concave. And so is I_C as a composition of non-negative concave functions.

The connectedness of the isoperimetric regions is an implication of the concavity of Y_C, [22, Thm. 4.6]. □

Corollary 4.5. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a regular conically bounded convex body. Given any $v > 0$, any minimizing sequence for volume v converges to an isoperimetric region.*

Proof. We reason by contradiction as in the proof of Proposition 4.3. Then we find an isoperimetric region in C consisting of two components E and B, a contradiction to Proposition 4.4. □

As a consequence we have the two following lemmata, [22]

Lemma 4.6. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a regular conically bounded convex body, and $0 < v_0 < |C|$. Then*

$$I_C(v) \geqslant \frac{I_C(v_0)}{v_0^{n/(n+1)}}\, v^{n/(n+1)}, \tag{4.10}$$

for all $0 < v \leqslant v_0$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let C be a regular conically bounded convex body, $\lambda \geqslant 1$. Then*

$$I_{\lambda C}(v) \geqslant I_C(v), \tag{4.11}$$

for all $0 < v < |C|$.

In a similar way to [18, p. 18], given a convex body (possibly unbounded) C and $E \subset C$, we define a function $h : C \times (0, +\infty) \to (0, \frac{1}{2})$ by

$$h(E, C, x, R) = \frac{\min\left\{|E \cap B_C(x,R)|, |B_C(x,R) \setminus E|\right\}}{|B_C(x,R)|}, \tag{4.12}$$

for $x \in C$ and $R > 0$. When E and C are fixed, we shall simply denote

$$h(x, R) = h(E, C, x, R). \tag{4.13}$$

For future reference, we state the following result

Lemma 4.8 ([22, Lemma 5.4])**.** *For any $v > 0$, consider the function $f_v : [0, v] \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by*

$$f_v(s) = s^{-n/(n+1)} \left(\left(\frac{v - s}{v} \right)^{n/(n+1)} - 1 \right).$$

Then there is a constant $0 < c_2 < 1$ that does not depends on v so that $f_v(s) \geqslant -(1/2)\, v^{-n/(n+1)}$ for all $0 \leqslant s \leqslant c_2\, v$.

Now we can prove the following density estimate. A similar estimate for convex bodies was obtained in [22, Prop. 4.9].

Proposition 4.9. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a regular conically bounded convex body, and $E \subset C$ an isoperimetric region of volume $0 < v < |C|$. Choose ε so that*

$$0 < \varepsilon < \min\left\{ {\ell_2}^{-1} v, c_2 v, \frac{\ell_2^n}{8^{n+1}}, {\ell_2}^{-1} \left(\frac{c_1}{4} \right)^{n+1} \right\}, \tag{4.14}$$

where $c_1 = v^{-n/(n+1)} I_C(v)$ and c_2 is the constant in Lemma 4.8.

Then, for any $x \in C$ and $R \leqslant 1$ so that $h(x,R) \leqslant \varepsilon$, we get

$$h(x,R/2) = 0. \tag{4.15}$$

Moreover, in case $h(x,R) = |E \cap B_C(x,R)||B_C(x,R)|^{-1}$, we get $|E \cap B_C(x,R/2)| = 0$ and, in case $h(x,R) = |B_C(x,R) \setminus E||B_C(x,R)|^{-1}$, we have $|B_C(x,R/2) \setminus E| = 0$.

Proof. In case $h(x,R) = |E \cap B_C(x,R)||B_C(x,R)|^{-1}$ we argue as in [22, Prop. 4.9] to get

$$bR/4a \leqslant (m(R)^{1/(n+1)} - m(R/2)^{1/(n+1)}) \leqslant m(R)^{1/(n+1)} \leqslant (\varepsilon \ell_2)^{1/(n+1)} R.$$

This is a contradiction, since $\varepsilon \ell_2 < (b/4a)^{n+1} = I_C(v)^{n+1}/(8^{n+1}v^n) \leqslant \ell_2^{n+1}/8^{n+1}$ by (4.14) and Proposition 2.9. So the proof in case $h(x,R) = |E \cap B_C(x,R)|\,(|B_C(x,R))|^{-1}$ is completed.

For the remaining case, when $h(x,R) = |B_C(x,R)|^{-1}|B_C(x,R) \setminus E|$, using Lemma 4.6 and the fact that I_C is non-decreasing by Proposition 4.4, we argue as in Case 1 in Lemma 4.2 of [18] we get

$$c_1/4 \leqslant (\varepsilon \ell_2)^{1/(n+1)}$$

This is a contradiction, since $\varepsilon \ell_2 < (c_1/4)^{n+1}$ by (4.14). □

One of the consequences of Proposition 4.9 is the following lower density bound, which is usually obtained from the monotonicity formula.

Corollary 4.10 (Lower density bound)**.** *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a regular conically bounded convex body, and $E \subset C$ an isoperimetric region of volume v. Then there exists a constant $M > 0$, only depending on the constant ε in (4.14), on a Poincaré's constant for $r \leqslant 1$ as in (3.2), and on an Ahlfors constant ℓ_1 as in (3.3), such that*

$$P(E, B_C(x,r)) \geqslant M r^n, \tag{4.16}$$

for all $x \in \partial_C E_1$ and $r \leqslant 1$.

Proof. Let $E \subset C$ be an isoperimetric region of volume $v > 1$, that exists by Proposition 4.3. The constant ε in (4.14) can be chosen independently of $v > 1$ since the quantity $\inf_{v \geqslant 1} v^{-n/(n+1)} I_C(v)$ is uniformly bounded from below by a positive constant because of (3.5). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(E, B_C(x,r)) &\geqslant M \min\{|E \cap B_C(x,r)|, |B_C(x,r) \setminus E|\}^{n/(n+1)} \\ &= M\,(|B_C(x,r)|\,h(x,r))^{n/(n+1)} \geqslant M(|B_C(x,r)|\,\varepsilon)^{n/(n+1)} \\ &\geqslant M\,(\ell_1 \varepsilon)^{n/(n+1)}\, r^n, \end{aligned}$$

as claimed. □

So we have our convergence result

Theorem 4.11. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a regular conically bounded convex body. Then a rescaling of a sequence of isoperimetric regions of volumes approaching infinity converges in Hausdorff distance to a geodesic ball centered at the vertex in the asymptotic cone. The same convergence result holds for their free boundaries.*

Proof. Assume $0 \in \partial C$. Let $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C$ be a sequence of isoperimetric regions of volumes $|E_i| \to \infty$, and let $\lambda_i \to 0$ so that $|\lambda_i E_i| = 1$. The sets $\Omega_i = \lambda_i E_i$ are isoperimetric regions in $\lambda_i C$, and they are connected by Proposition 4.4. We claim

$$\operatorname{diam}(\Omega_i) \leqslant c, \qquad \text{for all } i \text{ and some } c > 0. \tag{4.17}$$

If claim holds, let $q \in \operatorname{int}(\overline{B}_{C_\infty}(0,1))$ and $B_q \subset \operatorname{int}(\overline{B}_{\infty}(0,1))$ be a Euclidean geodesic ball. Consider a solid cone K_q with vertex q such that $0 \in \operatorname{int}(K_q)$ and $K_q \cap C \cap \partial B(0,1) = \emptyset$. By (4.17) we get $\operatorname{diam}(\lambda_i\Omega_i) \to 0$, and hence $\lambda_i\Omega_i \to 0$ in Hausdorff distance, what implies

$$\lambda_i\Omega_i \subset K_q,$$

for large enough $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

As the sequence $\lambda_i^2 C \cap \overline{B}(0,1)$ converges in Hausdorff distance to $C_\infty \cap \overline{B}(0,1)$, we construct using [22, Thm. 3.4] a family of bilipschitz maps

$$f_i : \lambda_i^2 C \cap \overline{B}(0,1) \to C_\infty \cap \overline{B}(0,1)$$

so that f_i is the identity in B_q and it is extended linearly along the segments leaving from q. The maps f_i satisfy $\operatorname{Lip}(f_i), \operatorname{Lip}(f_i^{-1}) \to 1$, and have the additional property

$$P_{C_\infty}(f_i(\lambda_i\Omega_i)) = P_{\overline{B}_{C_\infty}(0,1)}(f_i(\lambda_i\Omega_i)).$$

Then $g_i = \lambda_i f_i \lambda_i^{-1}$, defined from $\lambda_i C \cap \overline{B}(0,\lambda_i^{-1})$ to $C_\infty \cap \overline{B}(0,\lambda_i^{-1})$ satisfy the same properties $\operatorname{Lip}(g_i), \operatorname{Lip}(g_i^{-1}) \to 1$ and $P_{C_\infty}(g_i(\Omega_i)) = P_{\overline{B}_{C_\infty}(0,\lambda_i^{-1})}(g_i(\Omega_i))$. From Lemma 2.3 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i\to\infty} \operatorname{diam}(\Omega_i) &= \lim_{i\to\infty} \operatorname{diam}(g_i(\Omega_i)), \\ 1 = \lim_{i\to\infty} |\Omega_i| &= \lim_{i\to\infty} |g_i(\Omega_i)|, \\ \liminf_{i\to\infty} P_{\lambda_i C}(\Omega_i) &= \liminf_{i\to\infty} P_{C_\infty}(g_i(\Omega_i)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

Consequently, by (4.17), the sets $g_i(\Omega_i)$ have uniformly bounded diameter. If the sequence of sets $\{g_i(\Omega_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ has a divergent subsequence, then (3.11), (4.18), and Proposition 2.15 imply

$$I_{C_\infty}(1) = \lim_{i\to\infty} I_{\lambda_i C}(1) = \liminf_{i\to\infty} P_{C_\infty}(g_i(\Omega_i)) \geqslant I_H(1), \tag{4.19}$$

and from (2.2) we would get that C_∞ is a half-space, a contradiction. Hence the sequence $\{g_i(\Omega_i)\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ stays bounded, and we can apply the convergence results for convex bodies to obtain L^1-convergence of the sets Ω_i and improve, using the density estimates in Proposition 4.9, the L^1-convergence to Hausdorf convergence of the sets Ω_i and their boundaries [22, Theorems 5.11 and 5.13].

So it only remains to prove (4.17) to conclude the proof. Since $(\lambda_i C)_\infty = C_\infty$ we can choose, using Lemma 3.3, a uniform Poincaré's constant for $r \leqslant 1$, and a uniform Ahlfors constant ℓ_1 for all $\lambda_i C$. Further, since $I_{\lambda_i C} \geqslant I_{C_\infty}$, the constant ε in (4.14) can be chosen uniformly for all $\lambda_i C$ as well. Consequently a lower density bound, as in Corollary 4.10, holds for all Ω_i with a uniform constant. Since the sets Ω_i are connected by Proposition 4.4, we conclude that $\operatorname{diam}(\Omega_i)$ are uniformly bounded, since otherwise (4.16) would imply that $P_{\lambda_i C}(\lambda_i E_i)$ goes to infinity. This way we obtain a contradiction, since by (2.9), we get $P_{\lambda_i C}(\lambda_i E_i) = I_{\lambda_i C}(1) \leqslant I_H(1)$ for all i. □

Since we are assuming smoothness of the boundaries of both the conically bounded set C and of its asymptotic cone C_∞ (out of the vertex), we can use density estimates for varifolds to improve the convergence. In particular, the mean curvatures of the boundaries of the isoperimetric regions satisfy a uniform estimate

Lemma 4.12. *Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a regular conically bounded convex body, and $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of isoperimetric regions of volumes $v_i \to \infty$. Let H_i be the constant mean curvature of the regular part of the boundary of E_i. Then $H_i v_i^{1/(n+1)}$ is bounded.*

Proof. It is known that the mean curvature H of the boundary of an isoperimetric region of volume v satisfies $H \leqslant (I_C')_-(v)$, where $(I_C')_-$ is the left derivative of the concave function I_C. Observe that there are constants $m, M > 0$ such that

$$m v^{n/(n+1)} \leqslant I_C(v) \leqslant M v^{n/(n+1)}, \qquad \text{for large } v.$$

The left inequality follows from inequality (3.4), $I_C \geqslant I_{C_\infty}$, and it is indeed true for any $v > 0$. The second one follows from (3.5), $\lim_{v\to\infty}(I_{C_\infty}^{-1} I_C)(v) = 1$.

For large v we have

$$v^{1/(n+1)} H \leqslant \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{1/n} I_C(v)^{1/n} (I_C')_-(v) = \left(\frac{1}{m}\right)^{1/n} \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right) (Y_C)'_-(v),$$

where $Y_C = I_C^{(n+1)/n}$. Hence the estimate

$$(Y_C)'_-(v) = \lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{Y_C(v-h) - Y_C(v)}{h} \leqslant \frac{Y_C(v)}{v} \leqslant M^{(n+1)/n}.$$

proves the result. □

5. Large isoperimetric regions in conically bounded convex bodies of revolution

In this Section we consider regular conically bounded sets of revolution in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, generated by a smooth convex function $f : [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ with $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$. We may think of f as the restriction to $[0, +\infty)$ of a smooth convex function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying $f(x) = f(-x)$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function f defines a convex body of revolution $C_f \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ as the set of points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the inequality $y \geqslant f(|x|)$. As we shall see, the conical boundedness condition is equivalent to the existence of a constant $a > 0$ so that

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} (f(x) - ax) = 0.$$

This implies that the line $y = ax$ is an asymptote of the function f. For such a function, we have

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} = a.$$

and L'Hôpital's Rule implies

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} f'(x) = \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{f(x)}{x} = a,$$

and

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} x f''(x) = \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{f'(x)}{\log(x)} = 0.$$

We have the following

Lemma 5.1. *Given a smooth convex function $f : [0,+\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $f'(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{x\to+\infty}(f(x) - ax) = 0$ for some constant $a > 0$, we have*

(i) *The set $C_f = \{x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : y \geqslant f(|x|)\}$ is conically bounded with asymptotic cone at infinity $(C_f)_\infty = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : y \geqslant a|x|\}$.*
(ii) *There exists a compact set $K \subset C_f$ so that $C_f \setminus K$ is foliated by spherical caps meeting ∂C_f in an orthogonal way.*
(iii) *The mean curvature of the spherical caps is a non-increasing function (in the unbounded direction) and converges to 0.*

Proof. Let us call $C^\infty = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : y \geqslant a|x|\}$. Observe that Lemma 4.1 implies that $f(x) \geqslant ax$ for all $x \geqslant 0$ and so $C_f \subset C^\infty$. To show that the set C_f is conically bounded we compute $\rho((C_f)_{f(x)}, u) = x$, and $\rho((C^\infty)_{f(x)}, u) = f(x)/a$ for all $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. Hence condition (2.3) is satisfied. We know that the asymptotic cone $(C_f)_\infty$ is the epigraph of the convex function $f_\infty(x) = \lim_{\mu\to\infty} \mu^{-1} f(\mu x) = ax$. This implies (i).

Let us prove (ii). For any $x > 0$, we consider the center $(0, c(x))$ and the radius $r(x)$ of the circle meeting the graph of f orthogonally at the point $(x, f(x))$. We have

$$c(x) = f(x) - x f'(x), \qquad r(x) = x\,(1 + f'(x)^2)^{1/2}.$$

It is easy to check that $c'(x) = -x f''(x) \leqslant 0$. If we define $g(x) = c(x) + r(x)$ and fix $x_0 > 0$, the circles around the one with center $(0, c(x_0))$ and radius $r(x_0)$ form a local foliation if $g'(x_0) > 0$. Since

$$g'(x) = x f''(x) \left(-1 + \frac{f'(x)}{(1 + f'(x)^2)^{1/2}} \right) + (1 + f'(x)^2)^{1/2},$$

taking limits we obtain

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} g'(x) = (1 + a^2)^{1/2} > 0.$$

So we conclude that there exists $x_m > 0$ so that the circles corresponding to points $x > x_m$ form a foliation meeting the boundary of the convex set in an orthogonal way. The corresponding bodies of revolution exhibit the same property. In these cases, there is a foliation outside a compact set whose leaves are spherical caps meeting orthogonally the boundary of the convex set.

To prove (iii), simply take into account that the mean curvature of the spheres is $r(x)^{-1} = x^{-1}(1 + f'(x)^2)^{-1/2}$ and $\lim_{x\to\infty} r(x)^{-1} = 0$. □

Remark 5.2. Let C be a convex body of revolution generated by a convex function f satisfying $f'(0) = 0$. If we assume $\lim_{x\to\infty} x^{-1} f(x) = 0$ then $f \equiv 0$. This follows since the function f' is non-decreasing and satisfies $\lim_{x\to\infty} f'(x) = 0$. Hence a convex body of revolution cannot be asymptotic to a half-space unless it is a half-space.

Let (M, g_0) be a smooth Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. Assume that Σ is an embedded hypersurface with constant mean curvature H_Σ and that $\partial\Sigma$ is contained in ∂M and meets ∂M in an orthogonal way. We shall assume that Σ is two-sided and so there is a unit normal N_Σ to Σ. The unit conormal to $\partial\Sigma$ will be denoted by ν_Σ.

Let X be a C^∞ complete vector field in M so that $X|_\Sigma = N$ and $X|_{\partial M}$ is tangent to ∂M. The flow $\{\varphi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of X preserves the boundary of M and allows us to define "graphs" over Σ. If $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ has small enough $C^{2,\alpha}$ norm, then the graph of u, denoted by $\Sigma(u)$, is defined as the set $\{\varphi_{u(p)}(p) : p \in \Sigma\}$. For small $C^{2,\alpha}$ norm, $\Sigma(u)$ is an embedded hypersurface. Given a Riemannian metric g on M, we shall denote the unit normal to $\Sigma(u)$ in (M,g) by $N^g_{\Sigma(u)}$ and shall drop g when $g = g_0$. The unit conormal will be denoted by $\nu^g_{\Sigma(u)}$. Given g, the inner unit normal to the boundary of M will be denoted by $N^g_{\partial M}$. The laplacian on Σ, the Ricci curvature tensor, the second fundamental form of ∂M with respect to an inner normal, and the squared norm of the second fundamental form, with respect to a Riemannian metric g, will be denoted by Δ^g_Σ, Ric^g, II^g, $|\sigma^g|^2$, respectively. We shall drop the superscript g when $g = g_0$.

We shall use the following well-known result, compare with [1, Prop. 10]

Proposition 5.3. *Let (M, g_0) be a Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary and $\Sigma \subset M$ an embedded hypersurface with constant mean curvature H_Σ such that $\partial\Sigma \subset \partial M$ meets ∂M in an orthogonal way. Assume that the free boundary problem*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\Sigma u + (\mathrm{Ric}(N,N) + |\sigma|^2)u = 0, &\qquad \text{on } \Sigma \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_\Sigma} + \mathrm{II}(N,N)u = 0, &\qquad \text{on } \partial\Sigma \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

has just the trivial solution. Then there is a neighborhood U of g_0 in $\mathrm{Riem}(M)$ and a neighborhood I of H_Σ so that for $(g,H) \in U \times I$, there is just one graph of class $C^{2,\alpha}$ with constant mean curvature H meeting ∂M in an orthogonal way in the Riemannian manifold (M,g).

Proof. The proof is an application of the Implicit Function Theorem in Banach spaces. Consider the map $\Phi : (\mathrm{Riem}(M) \times \mathbb{R}) \times C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma) \longrightarrow C^{0,\alpha}(\Sigma) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Sigma)$ defined by

$$\Phi(g,H,u) = (H^g_{\Sigma(u)} - H_\Sigma, g(\nu^g_{\Sigma(u)}, N^g_{\partial M})).$$

The partial derivative $D_2\Phi$ with respect to the factor $C^{2,\alpha}(\Sigma)$ is given by

$$-D_2\Phi(g_0,H_0,0)(v) = \big(\Delta_\Sigma v + (\mathrm{Ric}(N,N) + |\sigma|^2)\, v, \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_\Sigma} + \mathrm{II}(N_\Sigma, N_\Sigma)\, v\big).$$

This map is injective by assumption and surjective by the Fredholm alternative. It is continuous and an isomorphism by Schauder estimates [12, Theorem 6.30 (6.77)]. Hence we can apply the Implicit Function Theorem for Banach spaces to conclude the proof. □

We shall also need the following

Lemma 5.4 ([2, Corollary 3.4])**.** *Let $\mathbb{S}^n(R) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $B(r) \subset \mathbb{S}(R)$ be a geodesic ball (spherical cap) of radius $0 < r < \pi R/2$. Then the first nonzero Neumann eigenvalue $\mu(r)$ of the Laplacian in $B(r)$ satisfies $\mu(r) > nR^{-2}$.*

Now we are in position to prove the main result in this Section

Theorem 5.5. *Let C be a conically bounded convex body of revolution. Then there exists $v_0 > 0$ such that any isoperimetric region $E \subset C$ of volume $|E| \geqslant v_0$ is a spherical cap meeting the boundary of C in an orthogonal way.*

Proof. By Remark 5.2, the asymptotic cone of C is not a half-space. Hence C is generated by a convex function f such that $\lim_{x\to\infty} x^{-1}f(x) = a > 0$. The asymptotic cone of C is C_∞ is the convex body of revolution generated by the function $f_\infty(x) = ax$.

Let $\{E_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of isoperimetric regions in C with $|E_i| \to \infty$. By Theorem 4.11, for $\lambda_i = v_i^{-1/(n+1)}$, the boundaries of $\lambda_i E_i$ converge in Hausdorff distance to a spherical cap $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{S}(R)$, of radius $0 < r < \pi R/2$, inside the asymptotic cone of C. Moreover, we can find a sequence of diffeomorphisms φ_i of class C^∞ applying a small tubular neighborhood of Σ into a subset of $\lambda_i C$ containing the boundary of $\lambda_i E_i$. The mean curvature of the boundary of $\lambda_i E_i$ is given by $H_i v_i^{1/(n+1)}$, which is uniformly bounded by Lemma 4.12, and so it is the mean curvature of $\varphi_i^{-1}(\lambda_i E_i)$ computed with respect to the metric $\varphi^{-1}(g_0)$. The reduced boundary of $\varphi_i(\lambda_i E_i)$ is a stationary varifold with boundary. Since the perimeters of $\varphi_i(\lambda_i E_i)$ converge to the perimeter of Σ, we can use [16, Theorem 4.13] to get $C^{1,\delta}$-convergence of the boundaries. By elliptic regularity, the mean curvatures of the boundaries of $\varphi_i^{-1}(\lambda_i E_i)$, computed with respect to the metric $\varphi^* g_0$, also converge to the mean curvature of Σ, and the boundary of $\varphi_i(\lambda_i E_i)$ is the graph of a C^∞ function over Σ in the sense defined above.

The hypersurface $\Sigma \subset C_\infty$ is the boundary of an isoperimetric region in C_∞. On Σ we have $\mathrm{Ric}(N,N) + |\sigma|^2 = nR^{-2}$ and $\mathrm{II}(N,N) = 0$. So the free boundary problem (5.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta u + nR^{-2}u &= 0, \qquad \text{on } \Sigma, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= 0, \qquad \text{on } \partial\Sigma. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.4 the first nonzero Neumann eigenvalue of the Laplacian on Σ is strictly larger than nR^{-2}, and so the only solution is $u = 0$. Proposition 5.3 then implies that, for large enough $i \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\varphi^* g_0$ is close to g_0 and the mean curvature of the boundary of $\lambda_i E_i$ is close to the one of Σ, there is only one such graph.

Consider now a sequence of spherical caps in C with the same mean curvature as the one of ∂E_i. Scaling down we have C^∞ convergence to Σ. By the uniqueness part of Proposition 5.3, we obtain that E_i is a spherical cap for i large enough. □

References

[1] L. C. Ambrozio. Rigidity of area-minimizing free boundary surfaces in mean convex three-manifolds. arXiv:1301.6257. J. Geom. Anal. (to appear), 26 Jan 2013.

[2] M. S. Ashbaugh and R. D. Benguria. Sharp upper bound to the first nonzero Neumann eigenvalue for bounded domains in spaces of constant curvature. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 52(2):402–416, 1995.

[3] V. Bayle and C. Rosales. Some isoperimetric comparison theorems for convex bodies in Riemannian manifolds. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 54(5):1371–1394, 2005.

[4] T. Bonnesen and W. Fenchel. *Theory of convex bodies*. BCS Associates, Moscow, ID, 1987. Translated from the German and edited by L. Boron, C. Christenson and B. Smith.

[5] D. Burago, Y. Burago, and S. Ivanov. *A course in metric geometry*, volume 33 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001.

[6] J. Choe, M. Ghomi, and M. Ritoré. Total positive curvature of hypersurfaces with convex boundary. *J. Differential Geom.*, 72(1):129–147, 2006.

[7] J. Choe, M. Ghomi, and M. Ritoré. The relative isoperimetric inequality outside convex domains in $\mathbf{R}^n$. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 29(4):421–429, 2007.

[8] J. Choe and M. Ritoré. The relative isoperimetric inequality in Cartan-Hadamard 3-manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 605:179–191, 2007.
[9] A. Figalli and E. Indrei. A sharp stability result for the relative isoperimetric inequality inside convex cones. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 23(2):938–969, 2013.
[10] M. Galli and M. Ritoré. Existence of isoperimetric regions in contact sub-Riemannian manifolds. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 397(2):697–714, 2013.
[11] S. Gallot. Inégalités isopérimétriques et analytiques sur les variétés riemanniennes. *Astérisque*, (163-164):5–6, 31–91, 281 (1989), 1988. On the geometry of differentiable manifolds (Rome, 1986).
[12] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001. Reprint of the 1998 edition.
[13] E. Giusti. *Minimal surfaces and functions of bounded variation*, volume 80 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1984.
[14] E. Gonzalez, U. Massari, and I. Tamanini. On the regularity of boundaries of sets minimizing perimeter with a volume constraint. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 32(1):25–37, 1983.
[15] M. Grüter. Boundary regularity for solutions of a partitioning problem. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 97(3):261–270, 1987.
[16] M. Grüter and J. Jost. Allard type regularity results for varifolds with free boundaries. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)*, 13(1):129–169, 1986.
[17] E. Kuwert. Note on the isoperimetric profile of a convex body. In *Geometric analysis and nonlinear partial differential equations*, pages 195–200. Springer, Berlin, 2003.
[18] G. P. Leonardi and S. Rigot. Isoperimetric sets on Carnot groups. *Houston J. Math.*, 29(3):609–637 (electronic), 2003.
[19] P.-L. Lions and F. Pacella. Isoperimetric inequalities for convex cones. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 109(2):477–485, 1990.
[20] F. Maggi. *Sets of finite perimeter and geometric variational problems*, volume 135 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. An introduction to geometric measure theory.
[21] M. Ritoré and C. Rosales. Existence and characterization of regions minimizing perimeter under a volume constraint inside Euclidean cones. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 356(11):4601–4622 (electronic), 2004.
[22] M. Ritoré and E. Vernadakis. Isoperimetric inequalities in Euclidean convex bodies. arXiv:1302.4588. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear), 19 Feb 2013.
[23] M. Ritoré and E. Vernadakis. Isoperimetric inequalities in convex cylinders and cylindrically bounded convex bodies. arXiv:1401.3542, 15 Jan 2014.
[24] R. Schneider. *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, volume 44 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
[25] P. Sternberg and K. Zumbrun. On the connectivity of boundaries of sets minimizing perimeter subject to a volume constraint. *Comm. Anal. Geom.*, 7(1):199–220, 1999.
[26] W. P. Ziemer. *Weakly differentiable functions*, volume 120 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989. Sobolev spaces and functions of bounded variation.

Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Universidad de Granada, E–18071 Granada, España

E-mail address: ritore@ugr.es

Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Universidad de Granada, E–18071 Granada, España

E-mail address: stratos@ugr.es